On the homogenization of a system of parabolic PDEs modeling mass transfer in heterogeneous catalysis

Riuji Sato Bogdan Vernescu

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

### Table of contents

- 1. Heterogeneous Catalysis
- 2. Problem Formulation
- 3. Well-posedness
- 4. Homogenization
- 5. Future Work
- 6. References

# **Heterogeneous Catalysis**

### Catalysis

Hastens chemical reactions by lowering the activation energy through alternative mechanisms/pathways

- Homogeneous, e.g., acid-catalyzed reactions
- Heterogeneous, e.g., use of solid catalysts in liquid solutions



**Figure 1:** Potential energy diagram for  $X + Y \rightarrow Z$ 

### Heterogeneous Catalysis

• Catalyst is of a different phase than reactants or products



Figure 2: Model for heterogeneous catalysis

- Hornung and Jäger (1991): Stokes equations coupled with reaction-diffusion-advection-adsorption equations, reaction occurs on the surface of the catalyst
- Gahn, Neuss-Radu, Knaber (2016): reaction-diffusion systems in two-component porous media with nonlinear flux conditions
- Gahn, Neuss-Radu, Pop (2021): reaction-diffusion-advection equation in evolving microdomains

# **Problem Formulation**

- Catalysts are uniform and have smooth boundary
- Catalysts are not fixed, i.e., they move together with the fluid
- Movement of fluid and catalyst is assumed to be known a priori
- At time t = 0, the catalysts are arranged periodically
- Moving domain is described by a diffeomorphism that assumed to be as smooth as necessary, e.g., smooth enough to justify change of variables, necessary regularity of coefficients in PDEs, etc.



Figure 3: Model of a reactor with catalyst particles at time t=0

### Model

$\partial_t v_{\varepsilon} - D_F \Delta v_{\varepsilon} + \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon} = 0,$	in $F_{arepsilon}(t)$
$\partial_n v_{\varepsilon} = 0,$	on $\partial \Omega$
$D_F \partial_n v_{\varepsilon} = D_S \partial_n w_{\varepsilon},$	on $\Gamma_{arepsilon}(t)$
$D_F \partial_n v_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon} \left( v_{\varepsilon} - w_{\varepsilon}  ight) = 0,$	on $\Gamma_{arepsilon}(t)$
$\partial_t w_{\varepsilon} - D_S \Delta w_{\varepsilon} + r w_{\varepsilon} = 0,$	in $S_{arepsilon}(t)$
$v_{\varepsilon}(0)=v_{\varepsilon,0},$	in $F_{arepsilon}(0)$
$\textit{w}_{\varepsilon}(0)=\textit{w}_{\varepsilon,0},$	in $S_{arepsilon}(0)$

### Fixed domain problem

The diffeomorphism between the  $\Omega(t)$  to  $\Omega(0)$ , is obtained by solving:

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_t \pmb{X}_arepsilon(t,y) = \pmb{b}_arepsilon\left(t, \pmb{X}_arepsilon(t,y)
ight), & t \in (0,T) \ & \pmb{X}_arepsilon(0,y) = y, & y \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{b}_{\varepsilon}$  is:

- equal to the solid velocities in  $S_{\varepsilon}(t)$
- equal to **0** outside a neighborhood of  $S_{\varepsilon,i}(t)$
- smooth and divergence-free

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{b}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) &:= \eta_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \sum_{i} \left[ \boldsymbol{h}_{\varepsilon,i}'(t) + \boldsymbol{M}_{\varepsilon,i}(t)(x - \boldsymbol{h}_{\varepsilon,i}(t)) \right] \\ &- \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathcal{K}_{i}(t)}^{\varepsilon} \left( \nabla_{\varepsilon} \eta(t,\cdot) \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{h}_{\varepsilon,i}'(t) + \boldsymbol{M}_{\varepsilon,i}(t) \left( \cdot - \boldsymbol{h}_{\varepsilon,i}(t) \right) \right) \right)(x). \end{split}$$

Roughly,  $\pmb{X}_{arepsilon}$  is

- the identity away from the solid catalysts
- a rigid transformation in the solid domain
- a smooth-volume preserving "glue" in between

#### Remark

Observe that, one can instead use the known velocity,  $u_{\varepsilon}$ , to construct the mapping, i.e., we solve

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}(t, y) &= \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(t, \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}(t, y)\right), & t \in (0, T) \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}(0, y) &= y, & y \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

However, to guarantee that  $X_{\varepsilon}$  has the necessary regularity, requires that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  must also be regular. We found it more reasonable to assume that the solid velocities instead satisfy this.

Using the diffeomorphsim, we can map the problem to a fixed domain.

$$\partial_{t} v_{\varepsilon} - \operatorname{div} (A_{F}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}) + \boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{1} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon} = 0, \qquad (0, T) \times F_{\varepsilon}$$

$$A_{F}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0, \qquad (0, T) \times \partial \Omega$$

$$A_{F}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = A_{5}^{\varepsilon} \nabla w_{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \qquad (0, T) \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$$

$$A_{F}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \alpha_{\varepsilon} (v_{\varepsilon} - w_{\varepsilon}) = 0, \qquad (0, T) \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$$

$$w_{\varepsilon} - \operatorname{div} (A_{5}^{\varepsilon} \nabla w_{\varepsilon}) - \boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{2} \cdot \nabla w_{\varepsilon} + rw_{\varepsilon} = 0, \qquad (0, T) \times S_{\varepsilon}$$

$$v_{\varepsilon}(0) = v_{\varepsilon,0}, \qquad \operatorname{in} F_{\varepsilon}$$

$$w_{\varepsilon}(0) = w_{\varepsilon,0}, \qquad \operatorname{in} S_{\varepsilon},$$

where

 $\partial_t$ 

$$\begin{split} A_{F}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) &:= D_{F} \nabla \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(t,x) \nabla \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}^{-T}(t,x) \mathbb{1}_{F_{\varepsilon}}(x), \\ A_{S}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) &:= D_{S} \nabla \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(t,x) \nabla \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}^{-T}(t,x) \mathbb{1}_{S_{\varepsilon}}(x) \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{1} &:= (\nabla X_{\varepsilon})^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{u}_{\varepsilon} \circ X_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{t} X_{\varepsilon} \right) \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{2} &:= (\nabla X_{\varepsilon})^{-1} \partial_{t} X_{\varepsilon} \end{split}$$

We say that  $(v_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon})$  are weak solutions to the fixed domain problem if, for every test function  $(\varphi, \psi)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{T}\int_{F_{\varepsilon}}\left(\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon}+\boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{1}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)\varphi+\int_{0}^{T}\int_{S_{\varepsilon}}\left(\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{w}_{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{w}_{\varepsilon}\right)\psi\\ &+\int_{0}^{T}\int_{F_{\varepsilon}}\mathcal{A}_{F}^{\varepsilon}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon}\cdot\nabla\varphi+\int_{0}^{T}\int_{S_{\varepsilon}}\mathcal{A}_{S}^{\varepsilon}\nabla\boldsymbol{w}_{\varepsilon}\cdot\nabla\psi+\int_{0}^{T}\int_{S_{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{r}\boldsymbol{w}_{\varepsilon}\psi\\ &=\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}}\alpha_{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\varphi-\psi\right), \end{split}$$

and  $v_{\varepsilon}(0) = v_{\varepsilon,0}$  and  $v_{\varepsilon}(0) = v_{\varepsilon,0}$ .

We have the following result:

### Proposition

Let  $(v_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon})$  be the weak solutions of the fixed domain problem. Then if  $X_{\varepsilon}$  has sufficient regularity, the functions  $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} := v_{\varepsilon} \circ X_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon} := w \circ X_{\varepsilon}$  are solutions to our problem in the noncylindrical domain.

#### Remark

Note that it is important that the time derivatives of the solutions are in  $L_x^2$  rather than in the dual of a Sobolev space. This allows us to do a straightforward change of variables between the fixed and moving domains.

## Well-posedness

To establish existence, we construct approximate solutions by solving successively a sequence of steady-state problems.

Indeed, we let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k := \frac{T}{N}$ . We set  $v_{\varepsilon}^{0} := v_{\varepsilon,0}$  and  $w_{\varepsilon}^{0} := w_{\varepsilon,0}$ . We then solve:

$$\frac{v_{\varepsilon}^{m}-v_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}}{k}-\operatorname{div} (A_{F}^{\varepsilon,m}\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{m})+\boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{1,m}\cdot\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{m}=0, \qquad \text{ in } F_{\varepsilon}$$

$$A_F^{\varepsilon,m} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}^m \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0, \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

$$A_F^{\varepsilon,m} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}^m \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = A_S^{\varepsilon,m} \nabla w_{\varepsilon}^m \cdot \boldsymbol{n}, \quad \text{ on } \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$$

$$A_{F}^{\varepsilon,m} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \alpha_{\varepsilon} \left( v_{\varepsilon}^{m} - w_{\varepsilon}^{m} \right) = 0, \qquad \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{w_{\varepsilon}^{m}-w_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}}{k}-\operatorname{div} (A_{S}^{\varepsilon}\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{m})-\boldsymbol{U}_{\varepsilon}^{2,m}\cdot\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{m}+rw_{\varepsilon}^{m}=0, \qquad \qquad \text{in } S_{\varepsilon},$$

where

$$A_F^{\varepsilon,m}(x) := A_F^{\varepsilon}(mk, x), \quad A_S^{\varepsilon,m}(x) := A_S^{\varepsilon}(mk, x),$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{arepsilon}^{i,m} := rac{1}{k} \int_{(m-1)k}^{mk} \boldsymbol{U}_{arepsilon}^{i} \, dt, \quad i=1,2.$$

The approximate solutions are then defined as:

$$\begin{split} v_{\varepsilon,k}(t,x) &:= \sum_{m=1}^{N} v_{\varepsilon}^{m}(x) \mathbb{1}_{[(m-1)k,mk)}(t) \\ w_{\varepsilon,k}(t,x) &:= \sum_{m=1}^{N} w_{\varepsilon}^{m}(x) \mathbb{1}_{[(m-1)k,mk)}(t) \\ \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}(t,x) &:= \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left( v_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}(x) + \frac{v_{\varepsilon}^{m}(x) - v_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}(x)}{k}(t-mk) \right) \mathbb{1}_{[(m-1)k,mk)}(t) \\ \bar{w}_{\varepsilon,k}(t,x) &:= \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left( w_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}(x) + \frac{w_{\varepsilon}^{m}(x) - w_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}(x)}{k}(t-mk) \right) \mathbb{1}_{[(m-1)k,mk)}(t) \end{split}$$

From estimates on the approximate solutions, we have that, up to a subsequence, the following convergences hold:

$V_{\varepsilon,k} \rightharpoonup V_{\varepsilon},$	$wk - L^{2}\left(0, T; H^{1}\left(F_{\varepsilon} ight) ight)$
$W_{\varepsilon,k} \rightharpoonup W_{\varepsilon},$	$wk-L^{2}\left(0,T;H^{1}\left(S_{arepsilon} ight) ight)$
$\partial_t \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k} \rightharpoonup \partial v_{\varepsilon},$	$wk - L^{2}\left(0, T; H^{1}\left(F_{\varepsilon} ight)^{*} ight)$
$\partial_t \bar{w}_{\varepsilon,k} \rightharpoonup \partial_t w_{\varepsilon},$	$wk-L^{2}\left(0,T;H^{1}\left(S_{arepsilon} ight)^{*} ight).$

One can then show that  $(v_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon})$  are our desired solution. Indeed, we have

#### Theorem

There exists a unique weak solution  $(v_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon})$  to the fixed domain problem.

As mentioned previously, in order to have the correspondence between fixed and moving domain solutions, we need the time derivatives to be in  $L^2_x$ . Indeed, we have shown

#### Theorem

Suppose that  $(v_{\varepsilon,0}, w_{\varepsilon,0}) \in H^1(F_{\varepsilon}) \times H^1(S_{\varepsilon})$ . Then  $\partial_t v_{\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, T; H^1(F_{\varepsilon}))$  and  $\partial_t v_{\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, T; H^1(F_{\varepsilon}))$ .

#### Remark

Uniqueness and stability follow from the estimates.

# Homogenization

### Motivation

- Heterogeneous behavior can be very complex.
- Is it possible if there is a simpler model that solutions tend to?



Figure 4: Illustration of fixed bed and fluidized bed reactors

Suppose A is a bounded Y – periodic function,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Consider,

div 
$$\left(A\left(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right)\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) = f$$
, in  $\Omega$   
 $u_{\epsilon} = 0$ , on  $\partial\Omega$ 

Its weak formulation is given by:

$$\int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d} x = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d} x,$$

for all  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

### **Classical example**

#### We know that

$$u_{\epsilon} 
ightarrow u_{0}$$
 weakly in  $H^{1}(\Omega)$   
 $A_{\epsilon}(\cdot) := A\left(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right) 
ightarrow \mathcal{M}_{Y}(A)$  weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ 

However, it is not true that

$$A_{\epsilon} \nabla u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{M}_{Y}(A) \nabla u_{0}$$
 weakly in  $L^{2}(\Omega)$ .

In fact,  $u_0$  is the unique weak solution of

$$-\operatorname{div} (A_0 \nabla u_0) = f, \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u_0 = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $a_0^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N |Y|^{-1} \int_Y (a^{ij} - a^{ik} \partial_{y_k} \chi_j) dy$  and  $\chi_j$ 's are solutions to a unit cell problem.

### Definition

Let  $\Omega$  and Y be bounded open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and T > 0. A sequence  $\{u_{\epsilon}\}$ in  $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$  is said to two-scale converge to a limit  $u \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega \times Y)$  if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^T \int_\Omega u_\epsilon(t, x) \phi\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \, dx \, dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_Y u(t, x, y) \phi(t, x, y) \, dy \, dx \, dt,$$

for all  $\phi \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega; C_{per}(Y))$ .

### Proposition

- 1. Every bounded sequence  $\{u_{\epsilon}\}$  in  $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$  has a two-scale convergent subsequence.
- 2. Let  $\{u_{\epsilon}\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^{2}((0, T); H^{1}(\Omega))$ . Then there exists  $u_{0} \in L^{2}((0, T); H^{1}(\Omega))$  and  $u_{1} \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega; H^{1}_{per}(Y))$  and a subsequence, still denoted by  $u_{\epsilon}$ , such that
  - $$\begin{split} u_{\epsilon} &\to u_0 & \text{ in the two-scale sense,} \\ \nabla u_{\epsilon} &\to \nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1 & \text{ in the two-scale sense.} \end{split}$$

#### Definition

Let  $\{u_{\epsilon}\}$  be a sequence such that  $u_{\epsilon} \in L^2((0, T) \times \Gamma_{\epsilon})$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . We say that  $u_{\epsilon}$  converges in the two-scale sense on the surface  $\Gamma_{\epsilon}$  to a limit  $u_0 \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega \times \Gamma)$  if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} u_\epsilon(t, x) \phi\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dS_x dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Gamma u_0(t, x, y) \phi(t, x, y) dS_y dx dt,$$

for all  $\phi \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega; C_{per}(\Gamma))$ .

### Proposition

Let  $\{u_{\epsilon}\}$  be a sequence of functions such that  $u_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Gamma_{\epsilon})$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose  $\sqrt{\epsilon} ||u_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}((0,T) \times \Gamma_{\epsilon})} \leq C$  for some constant C > 0, independent of  $\epsilon$ . Then a subsequence exists that converges in the two-scale sense on  $\Gamma_{\epsilon}$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $v^{\epsilon}$  be the fluid solution and  $\bar{v}^{\epsilon}$  be its zero extension. Then, there exist  $v^{0} \in L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}(\Omega))$  and  $v^{1} \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega; H^{1}_{per}(Y))$  such that, up to a subsequence, the following holds

$$\begin{split} \bar{v}^{\epsilon} &\rightarrow v^{0} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{F}} & \text{in the two-scale sense} \\ \overline{\nabla v^{\epsilon}} &\rightarrow \nabla_{x} v^{0} + \nabla_{y} v^{1} & \text{in the two-scale sense} \\ v^{\epsilon}|_{\Gamma^{\epsilon}} &\rightarrow v^{0} & \text{strongly in the two-scale sense on } \Gamma_{\epsilon} \\ \partial_{t} \bar{v}^{\epsilon} &\rightarrow |Y_{F}| \partial_{t} v^{0} & \text{weakly in } L^{2}(0, T; (H^{1}(\Omega))^{*}) \end{split}$$

#### Theorem

Let  $w^{\epsilon}$  be the solid solution and  $\bar{w}^{\epsilon}$  be its zero extension. Then, there exists  $w^{0} \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$  such that, up to a subsequence, the following holds

$$\begin{split} \bar{w}^{\epsilon} &\to \chi_{Y_{S}} w^{0} & \text{strongly in the two-scale sense} \\ \overline{\nabla w^{\epsilon}} &\to \mathbf{0} & \text{in the two-scale sense} \\ w^{\epsilon}|_{\Gamma^{\epsilon}} &\to w^{0} & \text{strongly in the two-scale sense on } \Gamma_{\epsilon} \\ \partial_{t} \bar{w}^{\epsilon} &\rightharpoonup |Y_{S}| \partial_{t} w^{0} & \text{weakly in } L^{2}(0, T; (H^{1}(\Omega))^{*}) \end{split}$$

We make the assumption

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \left| A_\epsilon^{F(/S)}(t,x) - A_0^{F(/S)}\left(t,x,\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^2 \, dx \, dt \to 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0,$$

This is crucial because two-scale convergence requires the use of *special* test functions.

In the classical example, periodicity and boundedness assumptions on the coefficient matrix allow us to use directly two-scale convergence to take limits.

As a demonstration of the use of this assumption, we have

Another key point is, because  $Y_S$  is compactly contained in Y, one can show that

 $\overline{
abla w_{arepsilon}} 
ightarrow \mathbf{0}$  in the two-scale sense.

This, then leads us to conclude that the limit function  $w^0$  solves an ODE.

We summarize these results, together with the results for the fluid solution in the following theorem:

### Theorem

 $v^0, \ v^1, \ and \ w^0$  are the the unique weak solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_{y}\left(A_{F}^{0}(t,x,y)\left(\nabla_{x}v^{0}(t,x)+\nabla_{y}v^{1}(t,x,y)\right)\right) &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \times Y \\ &|Y_{F}| \,\partial_{t}v^{0} - \operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\int_{Y_{F}}A_{F}^{0}(t,x,y)\left(\nabla_{x}v^{0}(t,x)+\nabla_{y}v^{1}(t,x,y)\right)\,\operatorname{dy}\right) \\ &= |\Gamma|\left(\alpha v^{0}(t,x)-\beta w^{0}(t,x)\right) \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \\ &\partial_{t}w^{0} + rw^{0}(t,x) = \frac{|\Gamma|}{|Y_{S}|}\left(\beta w^{0}(t,x)-\alpha v^{0}(t,x)\right) \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \end{aligned}$$

We also have a corrector result.

### Theorem

The following holds:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{T} \int_{F_{\varepsilon}} \left| v_{\varepsilon} - v_{0} \right|^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\varepsilon}} \left| w_{\varepsilon} - w_{0} \right|^{2} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{F^{\varepsilon}} \left| \nabla v_{\varepsilon}(t, x) - \nabla v_{0}(t, x) - \nabla_{y} v^{1}\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right|^{2} \\ &\to 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \end{split}$$

- Note that the Sobolev compactness theorem does not hold for {ν<sub>ε</sub>} since they are not in H<sup>1</sup>(Ω).
- Alternatives:
  - Sobolev extensions (Gahn, Neuss-Radu, Knaber 2016), (Cioranescu, Saint Jean Paulin 1979)
  - Extensions of the Rellich theorem in perforated domains (Allaire, Murat 1993)
- In our result, we used the fact that (v<sub>ε</sub>, w<sub>ε</sub>) are solutions to a PDE to give us the strong convergence.

error  $\sim$  products of two-scale convergent sequences

 $\sim$  terms that go to zero

+ terms that are asymptotically nonpositive

We consider the case when the solid velocities satisfy  $\|\boldsymbol{U}_{S,\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ .

In this case, one can show that  $\|\boldsymbol{b}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha}$  and  $\|\nabla \boldsymbol{b}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha-1}$ .

Thus,

$$abla oldsymbol{X}_arepsilon(t,y) = e^{\int_0^t 
abla oldsymbol{b}_arepsilon(s,X_arepsilon(s,y))\,ds}.$$

And hence,

$$abla oldsymbol{X}_arepsilon o oldsymbol{I}, \quad ext{in } L^\infty \left( (0, \, T) imes \Omega 
ight).$$

The limit problem then reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_{y}\left(\left(\nabla_{x}v^{0}(t,x)+\nabla_{y}v^{1}(t,x,y)\right)\right) &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \times Y_{F} \\ |Y_{F}| \,\partial_{t}v^{0} - \operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\int_{Y_{F}} D_{F}\left(\nabla_{x}v^{0}(t,x)+\nabla_{y}v^{1}(t,x,y)\right) \, dy\right) \\ &= |\Gamma|\left(\alpha v^{0}(t,x)-\beta w^{0}(t,x)\right) \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \\ \partial_{t}w^{0} + rw^{0}(t,x) &= \frac{|\Gamma|}{|Y_{S}|}\left(\beta w^{0}(t,x)-\alpha v^{0}(t,x)\right) \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \end{aligned}$$

We consider the case a similar case as previously but now with  $\alpha = 1$  and the solid velocities are assumed to be periodic in space, i.e., the motion is the same for each cell but are not necessarily periodic in time.

In this case, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{b}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) &= \varepsilon \boldsymbol{b}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) &= \varepsilon \boldsymbol{X}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{b}$  is the extension of the solid velocity in the unit cell to the whole cell and  $\boldsymbol{X}$  is the diffeomorphism obtained from  $\boldsymbol{b}$ .

So that the coefficient matrices satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\varepsilon}^{F}(t,x) &= A_{F}^{0}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) := D_{F}\mathbb{1}_{Y_{F}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\nabla\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-T}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\nabla\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\\ A_{\varepsilon}^{S}(t,x) &= A_{S}^{0}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) := D_{S}\mathbb{1}_{Y_{S}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\nabla\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-T}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\nabla\boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1}\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).\end{aligned}$$

. Here, the limit problem reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_{y}\left(A_{F}^{0}(t,y)\left(\nabla_{x}v^{0}(t,x)+\nabla_{y}v^{1}(t,x,y)\right)\right) &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \times Y_{F} \\ &|Y_{F}| \,\partial_{t}v^{0} - \operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\int_{Y_{F}}A_{F}^{0}(t,y)\left(\nabla_{x}v^{0}(t,x)+\nabla_{y}v^{1}(t,x,y)\right)\,dy\right) \\ &= |\Gamma|\left(\alpha v^{0}(t,x)-\beta w^{0}(t,x)\right) \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega \\ &\partial_{t}w^{0} + rw^{0}(t,x) = \frac{|\Gamma|}{|Y_{S}|}\left(\beta w^{0}(t,x)-\alpha v^{0}(t,x)\right) \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $A_F^0$  is not the identity matrix since  $\nabla X$  is not an orthogonal matrix nor the identity matrix.

# **Future Work**

### Future work



Figure 5: Model for heterogeneous catalysis

References

- Allaire. Homogenization and two-scale convergence. SIAM J. Math.
   Anal. Vol. 23, No. 6, pp. 1482-1518, 1992.
- Allaire, F. Murat. Homogenization of the Neumann problem with non-isolated holes. Asymptotic Analysis. Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 81-95, 1993.
- Cioranescu, J. Saint Jean Paulin. Homogenization in Open Sets with Holes. J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol 71, pp. 590-607, 1979.
- Dintelmann, M. Geissert, M. Heiber. Strong L<sup>p</sup>-solutions to the Navier-Stokes flow past moving obstacles: the case of several obstacles and time-dependent velocity. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. Vol. 361, No. 2, pp. 653-669, 2009.
- . Gahn, M. Neuss-Radu, P. Knaber. *Homogenization of reaction-diffusion processes in a two-component porous medium with nonlinear flux conditions at the interfcae.* SIAM J. Appl. Math. Vol. 76, No. 5, pp.1819-1843, 2016.

- . Gahn, M. Neuss-Radu, I.S. Pop. *Homogenization of a reaction-diffusion-advection problem in an evolving micro-domain and including nonlinear boundary conditions*. Journal of Differential Equations Vol. 289, pp. 96-127, 2021.
- Hornung, W. Jäger. Diffusion, convection, adsorption, and reaction of chemicals in porous media. Journal of Differential Equations. Vol. 92, Issue 2, pp. 199-225, 1991.