

MATHEMATICS TEACHERS' RE-SOURCING AND USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA: CAN THE 'PROSUMER' CONCEPT CONVEY WHAT'S GOING ON?

Yvonne Liliekvist Karlstad University, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Sweden; yvonne.liljekvist@kau.se

The problem

Mathematics teachers use social media to te source and learn professionally ^{[1][2][3]}. This means that mathematics teachers acts as both producers and consumers of curricular material^[4]. We need to theoretically describe mathematics teachers' simultaneous processes of producing and consuming subject didactical knowledge on social media.

Knowledge gap

Analysing the affordances of this new environment is necessary to understand how the educational discourse on learning and teaching mathematics is simultaneously constructed and consumed in mathematics teachers' digitalized every-day practice ^{[3][4][5]}. This arena for teacher learning and collaboration is under-researched.

Question to discuss

Can 'prosumer', borrowed from business and sociology [7][8][9], conceptualise this new agency on the professional learning arena?

The prosumer concept

producer + consumer = prosumer

In business and sociology, the driving forces for investigating 'prosuming' is to understand peoples' behaviour and how value is created [8][9]. Prosumeristical behaviour can work as a tool for control, where creativity and knowledge development, and professional learning may not be supported [7].

Colleague

Examples of data material:

Platform and type of interactivity Facebook, Twitter, personal blogs/vlogs, wikis Shared content Curricular material, tutorials, experiences Modalities Text, pictures, video, audio, linked websites hands-on material, emoticons.

Suggestion: using the prosumer concept producer + consumer = prosumer

Prosuming could be a way to conceptualize how the content and quality of digital re-sourcing, and the evolvement of the professional learning community, are made in simultaneous processes of producing and consuming subject didactical knowledge on social media.

Why Prosumer?

The driving forces for investigating 'prosuming' in a mathematical didactical context is to better understand how 'subject didactical value' is created when teachers' digital behaviour involve them both as a learner as a colleague, and as an expert. The prosumeristical behaviour can also be understood as aspects of teacher agency and control; that may or may not support professional arowth.

Examples of possible questions and relations that is evoked

Learner What kind of subject didactic questions do mathematics teachers pose, and what kind of professional support are seized?

How mathematics teachers e.g. support, co-develop, question, janore, or overlook, comments, discussions, and shared curricular material?

How is the mathematical content, and design, of shared curricular material assessed?

References
(1)Furthers, K (2016). The re-sourcing movement in mathematics teaching: some European initiatives. In M. Bates & Z. Usiskin (Eds.) Digital Curricula in School Mathematics (pp. 75-88). Charlotte NC: Information Age Publishing.
(2) van Bormel, J. & Blakkst, Y. (2015). February). Facebook and mathematics teachers' protessional development: Informing our community, In K. Krainer & N. Vondrox, Proceedings of the Ninth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics
(pp. 330-2308). https://ha.archives.ouvertes.th/ae/0128063
(3) van Bormel, J. & Blakkst, Y. (2016). Gatebin, Eachier's Informing for Dessional development on social media and social network sites: when and what do they discuss? Paper presented at the EFME Topic Conference on Mathematics teaching, resources a
(4) Ligkivst, Y. (2016). Mathematics teachers' Informational Geologycian message in instruction materials. Nachod: Suciety (15), 60-661, doi:10.1007/s11858-016-0797-5
(7) Barty D. 2017, Social Social consearch methods in Internet time Information. Society (15), 60-661, doi:10.1007/s11858-016-0797-5
(7) Bagitons, C. (2016). Gounding database and the element form of more internet time Information and Society (15), 60-661, doi:10.1007/s11858-016-0797-5
(7) Bagitons, C. (2016). Gounding database and the element form of more internet form Information Society (15), 60-661, doi:10.1007/s11858-016-0797-5
(7) Bagitons, C. (2016). Gounding database and the element form of more internet form Information Informational Society (15), 60-661, doi:10.1007/s11858-016-0797-5
(7) Bagitons, C. (2016). Gounding database and the element form of more internet form Information Stopper (15), 60-661, doi:10.1007/s11858-016-0797-5
(7) Bagitons, C. (2016). Gounding database and the element form of more international subase (15), 60-671, doi:10.1007/s11858-016-0797-5
(8) Razar, A. (2016). Social more international subases of the ternational subases of the ternation of subased time). Journal of Consumer Culture, doi:10.1777/de5664582007
(8) Razar, A. (2016 fessional development on social media and social network sites: when and what do they discuss? Paper presented at the EPIME Topic Conference on Mathematics teaching, resources and teacher pro

umer Culture, 15(1), 28-47. doi:10.1177/1469540513493201 ajc, M. (2015). Social media, pro sumption, and dispo nechanisms of the construction of subjectivity. Journal of Con-